

CUSC Modification Proposal Form

CMPXXX:
[Insert modification title]

Overview: [Insert summary]

Modification process & timetable

- 1 •Proposal form
•XX Month Year
- 2 •Workgroup Consultation
•XX Month Year - XX Month Year
- 3 •Workgroup Report
•XX Month Year
- 4 •Code Administrator Consultation
•XX Month Year - XX Month Year
- 5 •Draft Modification Report
•XX Month Year
- 6 •Final Modification Report
•XX Month Year
- 7 •Implementation
•XX Month Year

Status summary: The Proposer has raised a modification and is seeking a decision from the Panel on the governance route to be taken.

This modification is expected to have a: high impact/Medium impact/Low impact
[Insert impacted users]

Proposer's recommendation of governance route

[Select one of the below options:

Please find further guidance on governance route criteria at the bottom of this form.

This modification will proceed straight to Code Administrator Consultation and the Panel/Ofgem will make the decision on whether it should be implemented.

This modification will be assessed by a Workgroup and the Panel/Ofgem will make the decision on whether it should be implemented.

This modification will be treated as urgent and will proceed under a timetable agreed with the Authority, who will make the decision on whether it should be implemented.

This modification will be fast-tracked, and the Panel will make the decision on whether it should be implemented.]

Commented [011]: Use the guidance comments to help fill in this proposal form. Any queries - get in touch with us.

Commented [012]: Code Admin use

Commented [014]: The timetable is for Code Admin use. Please ensure you have clearly filled out the "When will the change take place?" section so we can complete the timetable.

Commented [013]: This should be short and clearly identify the topic the modification relates to. This should not be any longer than the timetable section.

Commented [015]: Delete as appropriate the relevant impact level.

Commented [016]: List the types of user that will be impacted by this modification

Commented [017]: For modifications which have a fully developed solution and therefore don't need to be considered by a Workgroup. Delete as appropriate whether you think Panel (self-governance) or Ofgem should make the decision on whether it should be implemented.

Commented [018]: For modifications which need further input from industry to develop the solution. Delete as appropriate whether you think Panel (self-governance) or Ofgem should make the decision on whether it should be implemented.

Commented [019]: For modifications which are time-bound and need to be implemented urgently. This enables us to shorten the standard timescales for industry consultations. We (Code Admin) must seek Authority approval for this option.

Commented [0110]: For modifications which are minimal changes to the code. E.g. Typos within the codes.

Who can I talk to about the change?	Proposer: [Your name, Company]	Code Administrator Chair: Name
	youremail@.com	email@nationalgrideso.com
	Phone: [Your phone number]	Phone: 07xxxxxxx

Commented [0111]: Insert your details

Commented [0112]: Code Admin use

Contents

- What is the issue?
- What is the solution?
- What is the impact of this change?
- When will the change take place?
- Interactions
- Acronyms, key terms and reference material

What is the issue?

[Insert a description of the issue that this modification is solving]

Why change?

[Insert justification for the change]

What is the proposer's solution?

[Insert your solution]

Draft legal text

[Detail the proposed legal text or proposed route to finalise the legal text]

What is the impact of this change?

Proposer's Assessment against CUSC Charging Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(b) That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]

Commented [0113]: Please be as clear and concise as possible. The fewer words the better. It should be able to be understood by somebody who isn't a technical expert.

Commented [0114]: Please be as clear and concise as possible. The fewer words the better. It should be able to be understood by somebody who isn't a technical expert.
Include which part of the code will need updating.

Commented [0115]: If you're recommending a governance route which is either Fast-track or straight to Code Administrator Consultation, the legal text must be supplied with the proposal form. If it is less than a page long, please insert it into this section. If it is more than a page long, please send it in a separate document with this proposal form and add a note in this section to explain that.

If you are recommending a Workgroup is set up, and the Legal text will be developed through the Workgroup, add a note to explain that.

Commented [0116]: If your proposal is to change Section 14 (the charging section) of CUSC, please complete your assessment against these Charging Objectives.

If your proposal does not affect Section 14, please remove this table.

Commented [G17]: Delete as appropriate. This is your assessment against the applicable objectives

transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);	
(c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(d) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(e) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
*Objective (d) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).	

Proposer's Assessment against CUSC Non-Charging Objectives	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
(a) The efficient discharge by the Licensee of the obligations imposed on it by the Act and the Transmission Licence;	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(b) Facilitating effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and (so far as consistent therewith) facilitating such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(c) Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency *; and	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
(d) Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the CUSC arrangements.	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
*Objective (c) refers specifically to European Regulation 2009/714/EC. Reference to the Agency is to the Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER).	

Impact of the modification on the stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	
Proposer's assessment:	
Stakeholder / consumer benefit categories	Identified impact
Improved safety and reliability of the system	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]

Commented [0118]: If your proposal is to change sections other than Section 14 of CUSC, please complete your assessment against these Non-Charging Objectives.

If your proposal only affects Section 14, please remove this table.

Commented [G19]: Please assess the modification against each stakeholder/consumer benefit category and provide rationale for any categories which you have identified an impact.

Commented [G20]: Will this change mean that the energy system can operate more safely and reliably now and in the future in a way that benefits end consumers?
This area would relate to changes which balance the system safely, securely and at optimum cost, particularly for consumers in vulnerable situations. It would also consider changes which introduce flexibility across the market to flow energy at the most efficient profile, lower operational costs and make sure GB consumers can access the cheapest sources of energy. Examples of changes include:

- security of supply
- changes to the balancing regimes e.g. charging changes

Lower bills than would otherwise be the case	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
Benefits for society as a whole	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
Reduced environmental damage	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]
Improved quality of service	Positive/Negative/None: [Please provide rationale]

Commented [G21]: Will this change lower consumers' bills by controlling, reducing, and optimising spend, for example on balancing and operating the system? This area would relate to changes that are likely to benefit end consumers. This could include any change where it has been demonstrated that it could lower bills for end consumers. If possible, this section should include any quantifiable benefits. Examples of changes include: Charging review

Commented [G22]: Will this proposal support:

- new providers and technologies?
- a move to hydrogen or lower greenhouse gases?
- the journey toward statutory net-zero targets?
- decarbonisation?

This area would relate to changes which demonstrate innovative work to design solutions which ensure the system can operate in an environmentally sustainable way both now and in the future. Examples include:

- Gas quality blending
- Carbon Capture and Storage

New technologies, e.g. wind power

Commented [G23]: This area would focus on demonstrating why and how the change can improve the quality of service for some or all end consumers. Improved service quality ultimately benefits the end consumer due to interactions in the value chains across the industry being more seamless, efficient and effective.

Commented [G24]: This area would relate to any other identified changes to society, such as jobs or the economy. For example, by 2050, energy system decarbonisation efforts could add 19 million jobs and £52tn of gross domestic product (GDP) to the global economy, increasing the GDP of Northern and Western Europe by 1.25% and 2.5% respectively. It could also generate a 15% increase in global welfare and reduce negative health effects caused by local air pollution by 60%.

Commented [0125]: N.B For modifications which change Section 14, the implementation date is the first day in a Charging Year.

Commented [G26]: We will base our timetable on the date the decision is required by.

Commented [0127]: Please list any systems or processes that will need to change as a result of this proposal.

Commented [0128]: Please list and explain any other Codes, Industry Documents and Standards, Modifications or significant Industry projects which this modification interacts with.

If the modification interacts with EBGL Article 18 Terms and Conditions, you must note this. (If the sections listed within Exhibit Y of CUSC are impacted).

I.e. Grid Code, STC, SQSS, BSC

Open modifications

Commented [0129]: Insert any acronyms or key terms used in this document and their meaning.

Commented [0130]: Insert hyperlinks to any key reference materials used in this proposal.

When will this change take place?

Implementation date:

[Insert the date which you are proposing the change is made to the code]

Date decision required by:

[Insert the date which the decision is required from the Authority - or Panel (if self-governance)]

Implementation approach:

[Insert approach]

Interactions

[Insert any interactions]

Acronyms, key terms and reference material

Acronym / key term	Meaning

Reference material:

1.

Guidance on governance routes

[Section to be removed by Code Admin following submission]

Self-Governance

The modification is unlikely to discriminate between different CUSC Parties and is unlikely to have a material effect on:

- i. Existing or future electricity customers;
- ii. Competition in the generation, distribution, or supply of electricity or any commercial activities connected with the generation, distribution or supply of electricity,
- iii. The operation of the National Electricity Transmission System
- iv. Matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies
- v. The CUSC Panel's governance procedures or the CUSC Panel's modification procedures

Fast Track Self-Governance

The modification is a housekeeping modification required as a result of an error or factual change, such as:

- i. Updating names or addresses listed in the CUSC;
- ii. Correcting minor typographical errors;
- iii. Correcting formatting and consistency errors, such as paragraph numbering, or;
- iv. Updating out of date references to other documents or paragraphs.

Urgency

Ofgem's current guidance states that an urgent modification should be linked to an imminent issue or a current issue that if not urgently addressed may cause:

- i. A significant commercial impact on parties, consumers or other stakeholder(s); or
- ii. A significant impact on the safety and security of the electricity and/or gas systems; or
- iii. A party to be in breach of any relevant legal requirements.